UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,661	07/19/2006	Gen Fujii	740756-2993	6545
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 02/17/201 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STREET, NW			HU, SHOUXIANG	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/586,661	FUJII, GEN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shouxiang Hu	2811		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 C This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4 and 6-22 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction of the patch of declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
,—	Marinion. Note the attached Cines	7.00.017 01 101111 1 1 0 102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/19/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 7-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/21/09.

2. In addition, claims 3, 4 and 6 are also withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being unreadable on the elected species. Claims 3 and 4 recite the subject matters of forming the first or second region by directly emitting light to the first region, which is not directly readable on the elected species of the embodiment of Fig. 1 that involves the steps of forming and removing a mask (51).

And, applicant is reassured that, upon the allowance of any of the elected claim(s) readable on the elected species, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowed claim(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2811

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sadao (JP 11-207959; 08/1999; of record).

Sadao discloses a method for forming a pattern (Figs. 1-18, particularly see Figs. 1c, 8 and 10; also see the English abstract), comprising the steps of: forming a first region (such as 11) and a second region (such as 10); discharging a composition containing a pattern formation material (12) to a region across the second region and the first region; and flowing at least a part of the composition discharged to the first region into the second region, wherein wettability of the first region with respect to the composition is naturally lower than wettability of the second region with respect to the composition.

Regarding claim 2, it is further noted that the above method further naturally include the steps of: forming selectively a mask over a substrate; forming a first region by using the mask; forming a second region by removing the mask (in manner similar to what is shown in Fig. 14, except that the position of the mask (similar to 107) has to be formed at positions/areas that match the pattern formed in Fig. 1c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2811

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadao in view of Maikner (US 2002/0017149 A1).

The disclosure of Sadao is discussed as applied to claims 1 and 2 above.

Although Sadao does not expressly disclose that the first region can be formed with a substance having a fluorocarbon chain, one of the ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that such substance is well-known in the art for commonly forming a surface region with desired hydrophobic surface area that inherently has low wettability, as readily evidenced in the art such as Maikner (particularly see [0038]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the art-known fluorocarbon-chain-based substance, such as that of Maikner, into the device of Sadao, so that a device with desired low wettabllity in the required regions therein would be obtained, as it has been held that:

The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References B and C are cited as being related to a method for forming a pattern with droplets.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Gurley can be reached on 571-272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shouxiang Hu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2811